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### Reporting: what for?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessing bank risk profile</th>
<th>Financial stability</th>
<th>Financing the economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Regulatory assessment: own funds, liquidity, leverage...</td>
<td>• Risk data: FSB, BIS, ESRB...</td>
<td>• Monetary and statistical data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Risk profile assessment (Supervisory Risk Evaluation Process – SREP)</td>
<td>• Macro prudential analysis</td>
<td>• Sectoral and geographical data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regulatory reporting is mainly of maximum harmonization

Why?
- Consistent with LAMFALUSSY process insuring convergence
- With the objectives:
  - increasing efficiency and reducing the administrative burden
  - to ensure consistency and comparability of information

How?
- Include all related implementing technical standards required by CRR in a single Regulation
- Binding in their entirety
- Directly applicable (automatically become part of the “Single rule books”)

with the scope of application of the ITS, competent authorities cannot add nor delete data to be reported, nor can they require the reporting of that data in a different format nor in a different (less or more granular) breakdown.
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But banks have to comply to many other requests

- EU Regulation
- Regulation 575/2013 (CRR)

- ITS EBA
- Regulation ECB - SSM

- COREP – FINREP
  - LIQUIDITY
  - LEVERAGE

- FINREP solo
- Statistical templates ANACREDIT

- ECB Regulation
  - Monetary statistic

- National implementation

- Other requests
  - Permanent: FSB, BIS
  - Ad hoc: EBA, SSM

- Ad hoc requests
  - Data Gaps
  - Stress Tests, QIS, STE

But banks have to comply to many other requests

Regulatory reporting is mainly of maximum harmonization
Proportionality is already taken into account

- Proportionality is an integral part of the ITS, with certain reporting requirements being applicable only to institutions using complex approaches to measure own funds requirements (standardised approach versus internal ratings-based (IRB) approach).

- The most burdensome data points do not have to be reported by all institutions but only by those institutions which have significant risk exposures or significant activities:
  - quantitative criteria with the aim of exempting institutions with insignificant activities or insignificant systemic importance.
  - the case for instance for institutions which have a significant level of non-domestic exposure in their balance sheet and have to report the geographical breakdown of assets.

- Uniform frequencies on a template by template basis (quarterly, semi-annual, annual).

- Uniform remittance dates which ensure a balance between timeliness and quality of reported data and the administrative burden imposed on reporting institutions.
A need for more proportionality

What to be done?

- Current regulatory data reporting are disproportionate with regards to effective supervisory needs and risk-based supervision principle
- Making regulatory data requirements proportionate to supervisory points of focus
- If regulatory data are deemed essential, supervisor should not and cannot rely only on regulatory data

1. Simplified reporting for intermediate quarterly remittances based on prudential requirements and on KRI/dashboards designed by supervisors
2. Possibility to lower some template frequency in FINREP/COREP and provide more threshold
3. Need to introduce more flexibility in the ITS adoption process and need to grant a minimum period (12 months) before the first application date

Commissioner Jonathan Hill at the EBA’ 5th Anniversary Conference – February 2016

For me, we need to make sure this regulation and its sister directive CRD4, achieves its prudential objective while imposing the lowest possible administrative burden so that banks can get on with their job of lending to the wider economy. So I want to look at how we can simplify reporting requirements, and costs that are associated with compliance more generally.
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Holistic approach to data reporting: BIRD, SDD and ERF

Banks’ Integrated Reporting Dictionary (BIRD)
Common language with the industry
Logical description of data at the banks and transformation rules to produce existing reports

ECB Single Data Dictionary (SDD)
Common language within the ECB
Methodological and semantic integration of existing European reporting frameworks

European Reporting Framework (ERF)
“One report” replacing the multiple, overlapping reports
Vision: single and integrated ERF for the ECB and EBA (ITS)
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The role of BIRD, SDD and ERF

- Banks
  - Primary Data (Operational System)
    - Transformations defined by banks
  - Reporting data warehouse
    - Transformations defined by banks
  - Transformations by banks

- NCB/NCAs
  - Primary Reports (EFR)
    - Transformations defined by banks and authorities in close collaboration

- ECB
  - Secondary Reports
  - Transformations by NCBs/NCAs

- BIRD
  - European Reporting Framework (ERF)

- SDD

Frédéric VISNOVSKY  Deputy Secretary General
Chair of the EBA Standing Committee on Accounting, Reporting and Auditing
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**BIRD advantages**

**For the banking industry**
- **Data consistency**: integrated production of different reports
- **Univocal interpretation of requirements**
- **Facilitates IT solution for reporting**: automated data processing from banks’ internal systems to the authorities
- **Contained reporting burden**

**For the authorities**
- **Data quality**
  - More harmonised data at the banking system level
  - Ease to detect and correct mistakes
- **Flexibility**: quickness to satisfy new reporting requirements
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EFR: what is meant?

Integrated and harmonised reporting schemes for banks, covering most reporting requirements of ECB and EBA

- Best practice for collecting banks’ data for different purposes, avoiding duplication across various reports
- Basis for harmonised production of secondary statistics via unique transformation rules
- Project in its early stages: design, adoption and timeline of eventual implementation are “work in progress”
- Need to take into account the EU maximum harmonisation principle for ITS reporting
Thanks for your attention
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